

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/985,856	11/06/2001	Hidenori Mukaida	Q67030	4383	
7590 12/24/2003			EXAM	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			HSIEH, SHIH YUNG		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2837	,	
		DATE MAILED: 12/24/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/985,856	MUKAIDA, HIDENORI
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Shih-yung Hsieh	2837
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	<u>'</u>	
THE REPLY FILED 26 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1	CE THIS APPLICATION IN CONvoid abandonment of this applic  a timely filed amendment which	NDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in
condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		ly filed Request for Continued
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 Cl of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for replying later than three months after the market status of the shortened status of th	ng date of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ount of the fee. The appropriate extension or originally set in the final Office action; or
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>		
<ol><li>The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b</li></ol>	ecause:	
(a)   they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	` ' ' -	•
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5,8,12 and 13</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10. ☐ Other:		$\bigcap M \cap$
		SHIH-YUNG HSIEH PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant's argument is not persuasive. Karlsson does disclose two cover plates, 18 and 17. Element 17 covers the gas purifier opposite to the cover plate 18 and, therefore, is a cover plate as shown in all figures although it is termed as mounting part..